

VCL Master S.A., Compartment 1 Class A And B German Auto ABS Ratings Affirmed

September 25, 2024

Ratings list

| Class | Rating* | Maximum commitment amount (mil. €)§ | Minimum available credit enhancement (%)† | Interest‡ | Legal final maturity |
|-------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Ratings affirmed | | | | | |
| A 2010-2 | AAA (sf) | 300.0 | 8.7 | One-month EURIBOR plus 0.49% | Sept. 25, 2031 |
| A 2011-2 | AAA (sf) | 150.0 | 8.7 | One-month EURIBOR plus 0.49% | Sept. 25, 2031 |
| A 2012-2 | AAA (sf) | 250.0 | 8.7 | One-month EURIBOR plus 0.49% | Sept. 25, 2031 |
| A 2012-3 | AAA (sf) | 200.0 | 8.7 | One-month EURIBOR plus 0.49% | Sept. 25, 2031 |
| A 2013-1 | AAA (sf) | 100.0 | 8.7 | One-month EURIBOR plus 0.49% | Sept. 25, 2031 |
| A 2015-1 | AAA (sf) | 500.0 | 8.7 | One-month EURIBOR plus 0.49% | Sept. 25, 2031 |
| A 2017-1 | AAA (sf) | 100.0 | 8.7 | One-month EURIBOR plus 0.49% | Sept. 25, 2031 |
| A 2017-3 | AAA (sf) | 600.0 | 8.7 | One-month EURIBOR plus 0.49% | Sept. 25, 2031 |
| A 2018-1 | AAA (sf) | 80.0 | 8.7 | One-month EURIBOR plus 0.49% | Sept. 25, 2031 |
| A 2020-1 | AAA (sf) | 200.0 | 8.7 | One-month EURIBOR plus 0.49% | Sept. 25, 2031 |
| A 2024-1 | AAA (sf) | 250.0 | 8.7 | One-month EURIBOR plus 0.49% | Sept. 25, 2031 |
| B 2014-1 | A+ (sf) | 40.0 | 5.2 | One-month EURIBOR plus 1.15% | Sept. 25, 2031 |
| B 2014-3 | A+ (sf) | 50.0 | 5.2 | One-month EURIBOR plus 1.15% | Sept. 25, 2031 |
| B 2018-1 | A+ (sf) | 10.7 | 5.2 | One-month EURIBOR plus 1.15% | Sept. 25, 2031 |

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Sebastian Mauersberger
Frankfurt
+ 49 1729 913944
sebastian.mauersberger
@spglobal.com

*Our ratings address timely payment of interest and ultimate principal. §The current issuance amount may be less than the maximum commitment for each class. †Reflects the documented minimum figures and includes subordination, overcollateralization, and a cash reserve. ‡Subject to a floor of zero. EURIBOR--Euro Interbank Offered Rate.

Overview

- We affirmed our ratings on VCL Master Compartment 1's class A and B notes.
- The transaction is a securitization of a portfolio of German auto leases, excluding their residual values, which Volkswagen Leasing GmbH (VW Leasing) originated.

FRANKFURT (S&P Global Ratings) Sept. 25, 2024--S&P Global Ratings today affirmed its 'AAA (sf)' and 'A+ (sf)' ratings on VCL Master S.A., Compartment 1's class A and B notes.

VCL Master S.A., Compartment 1 is a securitization of a portfolio of German auto lease receivables, excluding the residual value portion, which Volkswagen Leasing GmbH (VW Leasing) originated. The portfolio is currently in the revolving phase, which ends in September unless it is extended. VW Leasing is the initial servicer, and no backup servicer was appointed at closing.

The revolving phase has been extended by one year until September 2025. The existing class A and B notes have also been repriced and the issuer has entered into new swap agreements.

On any payment date during the revolving period, the issuer can issue new series of notes and/or increase the size of the outstanding ones, subject to maintaining the initial required credit enhancement for both the class A and B notes. The most recent review of the transaction was on May 28, 2024 (see "VCL Master S.A., Compartment 1 Series A 2024-1 Auto ABS Notes Assigned Ratings; Other Ratings Affirmed," published on May 28, 2024) when a new class A note was issued. The extension of the revolving phase by 12 months until September 2024 took place on Sept. 26, 2023 (see "VCL Master S.A., Compartment 1 Class A And B German Auto ABS Ratings Affirmed," published on Sept. 26, 2023).

The initial required credit enhancement or target overcollateralization amounts for both the class A and B notes have changed since our previous review in May 2024. The required credit enhancement for the class A notes decreased to 8.7% from 9.20%, while for the class B notes it decreased to 5.2% from 6.10%. A combination of subordination, initial and additional overcollateralization, and a cash reserve provide credit enhancement to the rated notes.

In addition to the abovementioned changes, several additional updates were made to the transaction documents. We have included in our analysis the following list of amendments:

- During the revolving period, the cash reserve remains floored at 0.75% of the class A and B notes outstanding. The reserve falling below the floor level on two consecutive payment dates would trigger the end of the revolving phase and the start of sequential amortization. Previously, the trigger was breached if the reserve was below the floor on just one payment date.
- The rating triggers for the posting of the buffer release and commingling reserves are now conditional on the rating on Volkswagen Bank GmbH instead of Volkswagen Financial Services AG, due to the internal restructuring of Volkswagen AG's financial services branches and subsidiaries on July 1, 2024.
- The time to post the buffer release and commingling reserve upon any event trigger has been increased to 30 calendar days from 10 business days and 14 calendar days, respectively.

Rating Rationale

Operational risk

VW Leasing has underwritten auto leasing contracts in Germany since 1966. In our view, the company's track record of stable, strong quality asset origination is among the best of all European auto ABS issuers. Our ratings on the class A and B notes reflect our assessment of the company's origination policies, as well as our evaluation of VW Leasing's ability to fulfill its role as servicer under the transaction documents. There is no backup servicer. For our analysis, we rely on the general availability of servicing in the German market and have also incorporated assumptions in our cash flow analysis, to analyze any potential impact from servicer disruption risk.

The collateral in this pool comprises expectancy rights related to prime auto leases, excluding their residual value. Under our operational risk criteria, we therefore consider the severity risk to be moderate and portability risk as low. We assess the disruption risk of servicer as low. Therefore, the criteria do not impose any cap on the maximum achievable rating due to operational risks (see "Related Criteria").

Credit risk

Following the good performance of the collateral in recent years, we have reviewed our credit assumptions. We reduced our base-case gross loss assumptions to 1.85% from 2.42% in our previous review. This follows a reduction in the net loss base case to 0.50% from 0.80%. We have increased the multiple at 'AAA' to 4.9x from 4.3x to account for the low gross loss base case. At the same time, we have increased our recovery rate base case to 73% from 67%. We increased the recovery rate haircut at 'AAA' to 50% from 45% to account for the higher recovery rate base case.

Table 1

Credit assumptions

| Rating level | Net loss base-case | Recovery rate base-case | Gross loss base-case | Multiple | Recovery haircut | Stressed recovery rate | Applied net loss |
|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| AAA | 0.5% | 73% | 1.9% | 4.9x | 50.0% | 36.5% | 5.8% |
| A+ | 0.5% | 73% | 1.9% | 3.3x | 35.0% | 47.5% | 3.2% |

We have analyzed credit risk under our global auto ABS criteria, using historical loss data for VW Leasing's book and performance data from previous VCL leasing transactions (see "Related Criteria").

Cash flow analysis

We have assessed the transaction's documented payment structure, and assumed the notes will have the minimum required credit enhancement in our cash flow analysis. The issuer can extend the transaction's revolving period several times. Once the revolving period ends, the transaction amortizes sequentially until certain overcollateralization targets for the class A and B notes are reached. However, the amortization between the class A and B notes and the subordinated loan switches to pro rata amortization from sequential if certain conditions are fulfilled, or when

class-specific target overcollateralization levels are reached. Our analysis indicates that the minimum available credit enhancement for the class A notes is sufficient to withstand the credit and cash flow stresses that we apply at 'AAA'.

The class B notes are able to withstand our stresses at a higher rating level than that currently assigned. However, we affirmed our 'A+ (sf)' rating on the class B notes on account of the transaction's revolving nature and the ongoing frequent issuance of new series.

Counterparty risk

Our ratings on the class A and B notes also consider that the replacement mechanisms implemented in the transaction documents adequately mitigate the counterparty risks to which the transaction is exposed. We have analyzed these counterparty risks by applying our counterparty criteria (see "Related Criteria"). Our ratings on the notes reflect that the swap agreements are in line with our counterparty criteria.

Legal risk

We consider the issuer to be bankruptcy remote, in line with our legal criteria (see "Related Criteria"). We have received a transaction legal opinion on the September 2024 renewal, which provides assurance that the structure achieves a valid and effective sale of assets, and we believe that the sale of the assets would survive the seller's insolvency.

We consider that the transaction may be exposed to trade tax and VAT risk. We have sized the unmitigated exposure as an additional loss.

Sovereign risk

Under our structured finance sovereign risk criteria, the maximum differential between the rating on the security and the rating on the sovereign depends on the asset sensitivity to country risk and the sovereign rating. We view the asset sensitivity to the country risk as low, and our long-term unsolicited sovereign rating on Germany is 'AAA'. Consequently, our sovereign risk criteria do not cap our ratings on the rated notes.

Forward-Looking View

In our analysis, we considered the following economic data and their baseline effect on collateral credit quality in determining our credit assumptions (see "Related Research").

Table 2

Economic factors

| | 2024f | 2025f | 2026f |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Real GDP (y/y growth, %) | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Unemployment rate (annual average, %) | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| CPI inflation (%) | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.9 |

Sources: National Statistics offices, Eurostat, S&P Global Ratings.

VCL Master S.A., Compartment 1 Class A And B German Auto ABS Ratings Affirmed

We consider the transaction's resilience to additional stresses to some key variables, in particular defaults and recoveries, to determine our forward-looking view.

In our view, borrowers' ability to repay their auto loans will be highly correlated to macroeconomic conditions, particularly the unemployment rate and, to a lesser extent, consumer price inflation and interest rates. Our current forecast on unemployment rates for Germany is 3.3% in 2024, 3.2% for 2025, and 3.1% for 2026. Our forecast for inflation in Germany is 2.7% in 2024, and we expect this to decline to 2.3% in 2025 and 1.9% in 2026.

Given our current macroeconomic forecast and forward-looking view of Germany's auto market, our sensitivity scenarios consider, all else being equal, the hypothetical effect on our credit ratings of:

- An increased gross default base case of up to 30%; and
- A maximum haircut of 30% to the recovery rate base case.

We therefore ran eight scenarios with increased gross defaults and/or reduced expected recoveries, as shown in the table below.

Sensitivity analysis

| Class | Base case | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Default rate base-case increase (%) | 0 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 30 |
| Recovery rate base-case decrease (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 30 |
| Gross default rate (%) | 1.85 | 2.04 | 2.41 | 1.85 | 1.85 | 2.04 | 2.41 | 2.04 | 2.41 |
| Recovery rate (%) | 73 | 73 | 73 | 65.7 | 51.1 | 65.7 | 65.7 | 51.1 | 51.1 |

| Class of notes | Initial rating | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|----------------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| A | AAA | AAA | AA+ | AAA | AAA | AAA | AA+ | AA+ | AA |
| B | A+ | A+ | A | A+ | A+ | A+ | A | A | A- |

| | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| | No change |
| | One-notch downgrade |
| | Two-notch downgrade |
| | Three-notch or more downgrade |

Source: S&P Global Ratings.

Copyright © 2024 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

The results of the above sensitivity analysis indicate a deterioration of no more than two notches on the notes, which is in line with the credit stability considerations in our rating definitions. Transaction features such as initial subordination levels and a liquidity reserve enhance the stability of the ratings under each scenario.

Related Criteria

- Criteria | Structured Finance | ABS: Global Auto ABS Methodology And Assumptions, July 26, 2024
- General Criteria: Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings, Oct. 10, 2021
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Payment Structure And Cash Flow Analysis Of Structured Finance Securities, Dec. 22, 2020
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology To Derive Stressed Interest Rates In Structured Finance, Oct. 18, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, March 8, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Incorporating Sovereign Risk In Rating Structured Finance Securities: Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 30, 2019
- Legal Criteria: Structured Finance: Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology, March 29, 2017
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance Transactions, Oct. 9, 2014
- General Criteria: Methodology Applied To Bank Branch-Supported Transactions, Oct. 14, 2013
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Derivative Agreement Criteria, June 24, 2013
- General Criteria: Global Investment Criteria For Temporary Investments In Transaction Accounts, May 31, 2012
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology For Servicer Risk Assessment, May 28, 2009

Related Research

- European Auto ABS Index Report Q2 2024, Aug. 12, 2024
- Credit Conditions Europe Q3 2024: Keep Calm, Carry On, June 25, 2024
- Economic Outlook Eurozone Q3 2024: Growth Returns, Rates Fall, June 24, 2024
- VCL Master S.A., Compartment 1 Series A 2024-1 Auto ABS Notes Assigned Ratings; Other Ratings Affirmed, May 28, 2024
- S&P Global Ratings' Approach To GloBE Or Pillar II Tax Liabilities In European Structured Finance Transactions, April 19, 2024
- VCL Master S.A., Compartment 1 Class A And B German Auto ABS Ratings Affirmed, Sept. 26, 2023
- S&P Global Ratings Definitions, June 9, 2023
- ESG Industry Report Card: Auto Asset-Backed Securities, March 31, 2021

VCL Master S.A., Compartment 1 Class A And B German Auto ABS Ratings Affirmed

- 2017 EMEA ABS Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis, July 6, 2017
- European Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016

Copyright © 2024 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.