

Preliminary Verification Report

In respect of the Transaction "VCL MASTER RESIDUAL VALUE S.A.,
Compartment 2" (Volkswagen Leasing GmbH)



1 August 2023

Authorization of SVI as third party

STS Verification International GmbH ("SVI") has been authorized by the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht "BaFin", as the competent authority pursuant to Art 29 of the Securitisation Regulation) to act in all EU countries as third party pursuant to Art 28 of the Securitisation Regulation to verify compliance with the STS Criteria pursuant to Articles 19 – 26e of the Securitisation Regulation ("STS Verification"). Moreover, SVI performs additional services including the verification of compliance of securitisations with (i) Article 243 of the Capital Requirements Regulation (Regulation (EU) 2017/2401 dated 12 December 2017, amending Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms as amended by Regulation (EU) 2021/558 of 31 March 2021) ("CRR Assessment"), (ii) Article 270 (senior positions in synthetic SME securitisations) of the CRR ("Article 270 Assessment"), (iii) Article 13 of the Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/1620 on liquidity coverage requirement for credit institutions dated 13 July 2018, amending Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/61 to supplement Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and the Council with regard to liquidity coverage requirements for Credit Institutions ("LCR") ("LCR Assessment"), and (iv) the STS Criteria, in respect of existing securitisations and potential deficiencies regarding compliance with the STS Criteria ("Gap-Analysis").

Mandating of SVI and verification steps

On 19 September 2022, SVI has been mandated by the Originator Volkswagen Leasing GmbH to verify compliance with the STS criteria pursuant to Article 28 of the Securitisation Regulation for the securitisation transaction "VCL Master RESIDUAL VALUE S.A., Compartment 2" (the "Transaction").

As part of our verification work, we have met with representatives of Volkswagen Leasing GmbH ("VWL") and Volkswagen Financial Services AG ("VWFS") to conduct an onsite due diligence meeting in Brunswick on 19 April 2023. In addition, we have discussed selected aspects of the Transaction with VWL, VWFS and legal counsel and obtained additional information on the transaction structure, the underwriting and servicing procedures of VWL and the underlying transaction documentation.

For the purposes of our analysis, we have reviewed the following (draft) documents and other information related to the Transaction:

- Base Prospectus
- German Legal Opinion
- Expectancy Rights Purchase Agreement
- Servicing Agreement
- Programme Agreement
- Repurchase Agreement
- Due Diligence Presentation prepared by VWL/VWFS
- Agreed-upon Procedures Report [To be provided]
- Latest version of the liability cash flow model
- Additional information received by e-mail, such as confirmations, comments, etc.

Verification Methodology

The fulfilment of each verification point in this Preliminary Verification Report provided to the Originator is evaluated on the basis of three fulfilment values (traffic light status):

Criterion is fully met	
Criterion is mostly met, but with comments or requests for missing information	
Criterion not (yet) met on the basis of available information	

The verification process is based on the SVI verification manual ("Verification Manual"), defined terms of the Verification Manual shall also apply to this report. It describes the verification process and the individual inspections in detail. The Verification Manual is applicable to all parties involved in the verification process and its application ensures an objective and uniform verification of transactions to be verified. Based on the Verification Manual, SVI has derived the Transaction Verification Catalogue for this Transaction as described under Verification Method in this report. A full description of the methodology used by SVI for the Verification can be found in the Verification Manual on our website: www.svi-gmbh.com.

Disclaimer of SVI

SVI grants a registered verification label “verified – STS VERIFICATION INTERNATIONAL” if a securitisation complies with the requirements for simple, transparent and standardised securitisation as set out in Articles 19 to 26e of the Securitisation Regulation ("STS Requirements"). The aim of the Securitisation Regulation is to restart high-quality securitisation markets, and the intention of implementing a framework for simple, transparent and standardised transactions with corresponding STS criteria shall contribute to this. However, it should be noted that the SVI verification does not affect the liability of an originator or special purpose vehicle in respect of their legal obligations under the Securitisation Regulation. Furthermore, the use of verification services from SVI shall not affect the obligations imposed on institutional investors as set out in Article 5 of the Securitisation Regulation. Notwithstanding confirmation by SVI, which verifies compliance of a securitisation with the STS Requirements, such verification by SVI does not ensure the compliance of a securitisation with the general requirements of the Securitisation Regulation.

SVI has carried out no other investigations or surveys in respect of the issuer or the notes concerned other than as set out in this Preliminary Verification Report and disclaims any responsibility for monitoring the issuer’s continuing compliance with these standards or any other aspect of the issuer’s activities or operations. Furthermore, SVI has not provided any form of advisory, audit or equivalent service to the Originator, Issuer or Sponsor.

SVI is not a legal advisor and nothing in the Preliminary Verification Report shall be regarded as legal advice in any jurisdiction.

Accordingly, the Preliminary Verification Report is only an expression of opinion by SVI after application of its verification methodology and not a statement of fact. It is not a guarantee or warranty that ECB, any of the ESAs or national competent authorities, courts, investors or any other person will accept the STS status of the relevant securitisation. Therefore, no person should rely on the Preliminary Verification Report in determining the STS status but must perform its own analysis and reach its own conclusions.

SVI assumes due performance of the contractual obligation thereunder by each of the parties and the representations made and warranties given in each case by any persons to SVI or in any of the documents are true, not misleading and complete. SVI shall have no liability for

any loss of any kind suffered by any person as a result of a securitisation where the Preliminary Verification Report indicated that it met, in whole or in part, the STS Requirements, certain CRR or SRT requirements being held for any reason as not so meeting the relevant requirements or not being able to have lower capital allocated against it save in the case of deliberate fraud by SVI. SVI shall also not have any liability for any action taken or action from which any person has refrained from taking as a result of the Preliminary Verification Report.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/DEFINITIONS

Note: For any other term used in this Preliminary Verification Report in capital spelling, please refer to the defined terms in the Annex B “Master Definitions Schedule” in the Base Prospectus.

ABS	Asset-Backed Securities
AuP	Agreed-upon Procedures
BaFin	Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority)
Base Prospectus	Base Prospectus dated 21 September 2023
CF-Model	Cash Flow-Model
Due Diligence	Due Diligence Meeting in Brunswick on 19 April 2023
EBA	European Banking Authority
EBA Guidelines	Final Report on Guidelines on the STS criteria for non-ABCP securitisation, as published by EBA on 12 December 2018
ECB	European Central Bank
EIOPA	European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority
ESAs	European supervisory authorities (EBA, EIOPA and ESMA)
ESMA	European Securities and Markets Authority
ERPA	Expectancy Rights Purchase Agreement
ESA	European Supervisory Authorities
Expectancy Right	Initial Expectancy Rights and/or the Additional Expectancy Rights to be allocated to VCL Master Residual Value S.A.
Expectancy Right Trustee	Wilmington Trust (London) Limited
€STR	EUR Short-term Rate
Issuer	VCL Master Residual Value S.A., acting for and on behalf of its Compartment 2
LO	German Legal Opinion
MAR	Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 on market abuse (market abuse regulation)
Originator	Volkswagen Leasing GmbH

Preliminary Verification Report	Preliminary Verification Report prepared by SVI in respect of the Transaction
Purchased Expectancy Rights	Purchased Initial Expectancy Rights and/or the Purchased Additional Expectancy Rights
Q&A Process	The process established by the ESAs whereby questions can be submitted by market participants and answers received from the ESAs on individual aspects of, among others, the Securitisation Regulation
Renewal Date	25 September 2023
RTS on Homogeneity	Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2019/1851 dated 28 May 2019 supplementing the Securitisation Regulation with regard to regulatory technical standards on the homogeneity of the underlying exposures in securitisation
RTS on Risk Retention	EBA Final Draft Regulatory Technical Standards specifying the requirements for originators, sponsors and original lenders relating to risk retention pursuant to Article 6(7) of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402
RV	Residual Value
Securitisation Regulation	Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2017 laying down a general framework for securitisation and creating a specific framework for simple, transparent and standardised securitisation, and amending Directives 2009/65/EC, 2009/138/EC and 2011/61/EU and Regulations (EC) No 1060/2009 and (EU) No 648/2012, as amended by Regulation (EU) 2021/557 of 31 March 2021
Seller	Volkswagen Leasing GmbH
Servicer	Volkswagen Leasing GmbH
SPV	Special Purpose Vehicle
Transaction	The securitisation of Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables involving VCL Master RV C2 as Issuer
VCL Master C1	VCL Master S.A., acting for and on behalf of its Compartment 1
VCL Master RV C2	VCL Master Residual Value S.A., acting for and on behalf of its Compartment 2
VWFS	Volkswagen Financial Services AG
VWL	Volkswagen Leasing GmbH

#	Criterion Article 20 (1)	Verification Report
1	Assignment or transfer of ownership of the risk positions takes place by means of a true sale and is legally enforceable.	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Legal opinion) / Due Diligence (Prospectus)</p> <p>Under the Transaction structure, the residual value in the Leased Vehicles is securitised through the sale of Expectancy Rights ("Anwartschaftsrechte") from the Seller/lessor (VWL) to the Issuer (VCL Master RV C2). The Expectancy Right converts automatically at the end of the Lease Contract, i.e. once all lease instalments (which are securitised through other SPVs such as VCL Master C1 or VCL term ABS Transactions) have been paid to VCL Master C1/other VCL SPVs into full ownership of the Leased Vehicle. At that point of time, the Issuer becomes, by operation of law, owner of the Leased Vehicle and is entitled to the Final Payment Receivable related to the Expectancy Right which represents the contractual RV of the Leased Vehicle.</p> <p>The Legal Opinion confirms the transfer of title to the underlying exposure to the Issuer through a true sale both with respect to the assignment and transfer of the Final Payment Receivables and the Expectancy Rights and with respect to the transfer of the Expectancy Rights Related Collateral. Furthermore, the transfer of legal title to a Leased Vehicle to VCL Master C1 under the relevant receivables purchase agreement results in the creation of a valid, binding and enforceable Expectancy Right for the Seller.</p> <p>The Legal Opinion confirms the legal enforceability of the true sale, assignment or transfer against the Seller and third parties with respect to the valid, legally binding and enforceable rights and obligations of the parties to the Transaction documents, with respect to the transfer and assignment of the Final Payment Receivables, the Expectancy Rights, the Expectancy Rights Related Collateral and the Leased Vehicles, with respect to the transfer and assignment of the Collateral Rights and with respect to the pledges under the Trust Agreement (all subject to customary qualifications).</p> <p>The Legal Opinion confirms that there are no increased risks with regard to claw-back and re-characterisation.</p> <p>The Legal Opinion does not cover the review of the Lease Contracts. However, the ERPA contains in Section 6.1 representations and warranties by VWL as of the Initial and any Additional Cut-Off Date concerning the legally valid, binding and enforceable nature of the Purchased Lease Receivables against the respective Lessee, their assignability and the compliance of the Lease Contracts (which term includes by definition the general terms and conditions) with applicable consumer financing laws. Furthermore, the Lease Contracts are governed by German law.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (1)	Verification Report
2	Requirements for the external legal opinion	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Legal opinion) / Due Diligence</p> <p>The Legal Opinion is provided by Hogan Lovells International LLP, a well-known internationally operating law firm with good expertise in the securitisation field.</p> <p>The LO has been made available to SVI as third-party verification agent and to competent supervisory authorities.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (2)	Verification Report
3	Specification of increased claw-back risks : Are there any provisions in the respective national insolvency law, which could render the transfer voidable?	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Legal (Legal opinion)</p> <p>Other than as provided by applicable German insolvency laws in case of fraudulent, unfair prejudicial or improperly favourable transfers there are no such increased risks. Such laws are considered non-increased claw-back risks (Art. 20 (3) of the Securitisation Regulation).</p> <p>Under applicable German insolvency law in respect of a transfer within certain time periods prior to and after the filing of insolvency proceedings the SPV must demonstrate that it had no knowledge of the seller's insolvency.</p> <p>However, Section 6.4 (d) of the ERPA provides for a warranty by the Seller as of the Initial Cut-Off Date, the Closing Date, any Additional Cut-Off Date and any Additional Purchase Date to the effect that no Insolvency Event with respect to itself has occurred or will occur as a consequence of its entering into the Transaction Documents and effecting the sale of the Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables thereunder. It is also provided in the ERPA (Section 4.1 and Schedule 2) that the Seller has to provide a solvency certificate to the Issuer prior to each Additional Purchase Date. The statement and repetition of such warranty as of the Initial Cut-Off Date and the Closing Date and each Additional Cut-Off Date and Additional Purchase as well as the receipt of a solvency certificate in connection with each Additional Purchase Date may be used by the SPV to demonstrate its non-knowledge of the Seller's insolvency.</p>
#	Criterion Article 20 (3)	Verification Report
4	Specification of non-increased claw-back risks: National insolvency laws are harmless, as they provide for the possibility of reassignment in other unfair ways in the event of fraud, damage to creditors or favouring other creditors.	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Legal (Legal opinion)</p> <p>Applicable German insolvency laws are considered not to represent any severe claw-back risks (see above under #3).</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (4)	Verification Report
5	If the sale and transfer is not taking place directly between the seller and the SPV but intermediate sales take place, is the true sale still fulfilled?	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Legal opinion, Expectancy rights purchase agreement)</p> <p>Under the Transaction structure used by VCL Master RV C2, the sale and transfer take place directly between the Seller (who is the original lessor) and the Issuer, i.e. without any intermediate sale taking place.</p>
#	Criterion Article 20 (5)	Verification Report
6	If the transfer of receivables takes place at a later stage , are the trigger events in relation to the seller's credit quality standing sufficiently defined?	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Legal opinion, Expectancy rights purchase agreement)</p> <p>The transfer of the Expectancy Rights and the Final Payment Receivables has occurred since the Initial Closing Date 25 November 2015 and on an ongoing basis due to the revolving character of the Transaction until the Renewal Date of the Transaction (scheduled for 25 September 2023). Within the Revolving Period (please also refer to the criteria #8, 17 and 33) the transfer of Additional Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables will occur on each Additional Purchase Date. In summary, it can be stated that the receivables have been transferred and will be transferred on each Additional Purchase Date. There will be no other transfer of receivables at a later stage.</p>
#	Criterion Article 20 (6)	Verification Report
7	Representations and warranties of the seller with regard to the legal condition of the underlying exposures	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Base Prospectus)</p> <p>The Seller (who is the original lessor) warrants that the underlying Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables are legal, valid, binding and enforceable contractual obligations of the relevant lessee, see Section "DESCRIPTION OF THE PORTFOLIO", Subsection "Warranties and Guarantees in relation to the Sale of the Purchased Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables", Item (a) of the Base Prospectus.</p>
#	Criterion Article 20 (7)	Verification Report
8	Predetermined, clear and documented selection criteria (' eligibility criteria ') (I/II)	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Expectancy rights purchase agreement, Base Prospectus)</p> <p>The underlying exposures transferred from the seller to the SPV / Compartment 2 are selected according to predetermined, clear and documented eligibility criteria, see Section "DESCRIPTION OF THE PORTFOLIO", Subsection "Warranties and Guarantees in relation to the Sale of the Purchased Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables" of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>A Revolving Period is provided for in the Transaction structure. Under the ERPA (see Section 4 "Purchase agreements concerning Additional Expectancy Rights" in connection with Section 6 "Warranties by VWL with respect to the Expectancy Rights and Final</p>

		<p>Payment Receivables” of the ERPA), the Originator may offer to sell Additional Expectancy Rights and the related Additional Final Payment Receivables to the Issuer on each Additional Purchase Date during the Revolving Period provided that certain pre-defined conditions precedent (which include the non-occurrence of a Series Revolving Period Expiration Date or an Early Amortisation Event on each Additional Purchase Date) are met.</p> <p>Under Clause 6 of the ERPA, the Originator warrants and guarantees that, with respect to the Additional Expectancy Rights and the related Additional Final Payment Receivables, the selection criteria are met on each Cut-Off Date (Initial Cut-Off date and on each Additional Cut-Off Date). As a consequence, consistent selection criteria apply to both the Purchased Initial Expectancy Rights and the related Final Payment Receivables purchased by the Issuer on the Original Closing Date and the Additional Expectancy Rights and the related Final Payment Receivables purchased by the issuer on each subsequent Additional Purchase Date.</p>
--	--	---

#	Criterion Article 20 (7)	Verification Report
9	Predetermined, clear and documented selection criteria ('eligibility criteria') (II / II)	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Data (AuP Report)</p> <p>The asset audit, whereby the audit company performs certain Agreed-upon Procedures with respect to the compliance of the underlying exposures in a randomly selected sample, covers the key selection criteria specified for the Transaction. Please also refer to #40 for a summary of the scope of the asset audit.</p> <p>[SVI to check upon receipt of the AUP Report]</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (7)	Verification Report
10	No active portfolio management	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Due Diligence / Legal (Expectancy rights purchase agreement)</p> <p>The underlying exposures in the pool are selected based on a well-established, random selection process.</p> <p>In case an underlying exposure should turn out to be not eligible and the interests of the Issuer or noteholders are materially and adversely affected, VWL has the obligation to either remedy the matter or repurchase the underlying exposure, see Clause 6.5 of the ERPA.</p> <p>Furthermore, the Transaction features a Clean-Up Call option. VWL as the Seller will have the right at its option to exercise the Clean-Up Call and to repurchase the Purchased Expectancy Rights held by the Issuer on any Payment Date when the Aggregate Discounted Expectancy Rights Balance is less than 10 per cent. of the Maximum Discounted Expectancy Right Balances, provided that all payment obligations under the Notes will thereby be fulfilled (please refer to Clause 8 “Early Settlement/Clean-Up Call/Sale of Expectancy Rights to other Securitisation Vehicles” of the ERPA).</p>

		<p>The above-described instances that allow for a repurchase of underlying exposures fall under the individual techniques of portfolio management listed in the EBA Guidelines that should not be considered active portfolio management (e.g. breach of representations or warranties and the exercise of clean-up call options).</p> <p>Generally, the above described repurchase mechanism used in the Transaction (a) does not make the performance of the Transaction dependent both on the performance of the underlying exposures and on the performance of the portfolio management, and (b) is not performed for speculative purposes aiming to achieve better performance, increased yield, overall financial returns or other purely financial or economic benefit.</p> <p>As a result of the above, the criterion "no active portfolio management" is fulfilled</p>
--	--	---

#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
11	Securitisation of a homogeneous portfolio in terms of asset classes (I / III)	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Legal (Transaction documents)</p> <p>The underlying exposures fall into the asset type according to Art. 1 (a) (v) of the RTS on Homogeneity (i.e. auto loans and leases).</p> <p>The Seller has chosen the homogeneity factor according to Art. 2 (4) (b) of the RTS on Homogeneity, i.e. jurisdiction, whereby the pool shall consist of underlying exposures relating to obligors with residence in one jurisdiction (Germany) only, see Section "DESCRIPTION OF THE PORTFOLIO", Subsection "Warranties and Guarantees in relation to the Sale of the Purchased Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables", item (m) of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>The requirement of lessees being resident in Germany is part of the selection criteria.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
12	Securitisation of a homogeneous portfolio in terms of asset classes (II / III)	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Due Diligence (Underwriting and Servicing Policy)</p> <p>The underlying exposures have been originated in accordance with consistent underwriting standards, as presented in the Due Diligence and further described in #17. No distinction is made between securitised and non-securitised receivables. The consistency of the underwriting standards also covers the methodology of RV setting developed and applied by VWL.</p> <p>The processes assure that only lessees resident in Germany are originated according to the underwriting policy.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
13	Securitisation of a homogeneous portfolio in terms of asset classes (III / III)	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Data (AuP Report)</p> <p>Additionally, the homogeneity factor “residence in Germany” is part of the Eligibility Criteria Verification, (selection criteria 6.1 (m) of the ERPA), whereby the lease contracts relating to the Purchased Lease Receivables which related to the Purchased Expectancy Rights have been entered into exclusively with lessees which have their registered office (for corporate entities) or place of residency (for individuals) in Germany.</p> <p>[SVI to check upon receipt of the AUP Report]</p>
#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
14	The underlying exposures contain obligations that are contractually binding and enforceable	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents) / Due Diligence</p> <p>Clause 6.1 (a) of the ERPA contains warranties by the Seller as to the legally valid, binding and enforceable nature of the Purchased Lease Receivables related to the Purchased Expectancy Rights. Please also refer to #1. The Seller further warrants that it may dispose the Purchased Expectancy Rights and the Purchased Final Payment Receivables free from rights of third parties and that the Purchased Expectancy Rights and the Purchased Final Payment Receivables have been legally validly created, see Clauses 6.1 (e) and (g) of the ERPA.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
15	<p>The underlying exposures have defined periodic payment streams and do not include transferable securities other than unlisted corporate bonds</p>	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Legal opinion, Transaction documents) / Due Diligence / Data (AuP Report)</p> <p>In this Transaction, the pool of underlying exposures contains exposures of a single asset type being Expectancy Rights and related Final Payment Receivables.</p> <p>As presented in the Due Diligence, all underlying exposures have been originated under a consistent residual value risk strategy, and the servicing including monitoring and collections processes are managed in a well organised and consistent way. This facilitates cash flow projections and the deriving of statistically reliable assumptions based on the portfolio information as provided in Section "DESCRIPTION OF THE PORTFOLIO" of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>According to the AuP Report, the underlying lease contracts relating to the Purchased Lease Receivables which related to the Purchased Expectancy Rights have a monthly payment frequency. [SVI to check upon receipt of the AUP Report]</p> <p>The underlying exposures represent the residual value portion of the lease contracts with a highly granular portfolio with stable cash flow characteristics and an amortisation profile with monthly due dates on a portfolio level (see Section "DESCRIPTION OF THE PORTFOLIO" in the Base Prospectus). The underlying exposures also rely on the sale of the leased vehicle, but only to the extent that the Originator does not fulfil its obligations to repurchase the Leased Vehicle, see Section 2 "Repurchase of Leased Vehicles" of the Repurchase Agreement.</p> <p>The eligibility criteria restrict the underlying exposures to Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables related to the lease contracts, thereby eliminating any transferable security from the portfolio. The compliance of the provisional pool with the eligibility criteria has been verified through the Eligibility Criteria Verification (see #40). [SVI to check upon receipt of the AUP Report]</p>
#	Criterion Article 20 (9)	Verification Report
16	<p>Are there any securitisation positions in the portfolio?</p>	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents) / Due Diligence / Data (AuP Report)</p> <p>The eligibility criteria restrict the underlying exposures to Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables, thereby assuring that no securitisation position may become part of the portfolio. The compliance of the provisional pool with the eligibility criteria has been verified through the Eligibility Criteria Verification (see #40). [SVI to check upon receipt of the AUP Report]</p> <p>As demonstrated during the Due Diligence, the origination and/or resale of securitisation positions is not part of the business model of the Originator and not permitted under the Originator's underwriting policy.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
17	Origination of underlying exposures in the ordinary course of business and in accordance with underwriting standards that are no less stringent than those applied to non-securitised risk positions	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Underwriting and Servicing Policy) / Due Diligence</p> <p>VWL is a market leading auto leasing company in Germany with over 500,000 newly leased vehicles per annum, active in Germany since 1966. Organisation and business processes have been developed over decades as part of the (until 2017) ECB regulated Volkswagen Financial Services AG.</p> <p>As presented and discussed in the Due Diligence, the well-developed, highly professional and reasonably automated organisation of its business procedures coincides with the volume and quantity of business transactions. This does apply to both the origination and underwriting process with respect to the lease receivables as well as to the Expectancy Rights. The car dealers form an integral part of the origination process with sales representatives acting as agents for the Originator.</p> <p>Accordingly, the business procedures assure that securitised exposures have been originated in the ordinary course of business and in accordance with uniform standards. Deviations from the underwriting policy are only permissible in well-defined and documented instances. With regard to the Expectancy Rights, VWL has well organised strategy and procedures in place for the residual value management. The underlying exposures are selected for securitisation using a random selection process.</p> <p>As presented in the Due Diligence and demonstrated by its track record of the sales results from the sale of Leased Vehicles (see #39), VWL has expertise in (i) the forecast of residual values (based on appropriate parameters), (ii) the setting of residual values for the underlying exposures (including a description of the interaction between manufacturer, dealer and finance company = originator, any deviations from the residual value policy and which party/parties carry the residual value risk), and (iii) the on-going monitoring of residual values. Please also refer to Section "BUSINESS PROCEDURES OF VOLKSWAGEN LEASING GMBH" of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>Any material changes to the underwriting policy for exposures transferred after the closing of the Transaction will be disclosed by the VWL to potential investors, see Clause 9.1 (e) of the Servicing Agreement.</p> <p>The underlying exposures are similar to the non-securitised expectancy rights due to the strictly random selection process.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
18	Underwriting standards for securitised exposures are no less stringent than those applied to non-securitised exposures	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Due Diligence</p> <p>As presented and discussed in the Due Diligence, no distinction is made between securitised and non-securitised exposures in any respect, be it applicable regulatory standards, competence grid and involvement of decision-makers, distribution channels, product types and product characteristics, annual agreements on (sales) objectives, sales management measures and bonus systems, lending standards, residual value risk management, approval processes and incentive measures, credit processing, dunning procedures, debt collection, realisation of collateral, customer service, outsourcing of sales, underwriting and servicing activities or areas of risk controlling, accounting and reporting (except for the required reporting of ABS transactions).</p>

		Employees of the Originator or at the car dealers involved in the underwriting do not know whether a risk position currently being processed for application will be securitised at a later stage or not.
--	--	---

#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
19	Where the underlying exposures are residential mortgage loans , does the portfolio include loans that have been self-certified by the loan applicants?	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents) / Due Diligence</p> <p>The Eligibility Criteria restrict the underlying exposures to Expectancy Rights which relates to a Leased Vehicle leased under a Lease Agreement. Therefore, residential mortgage loans do not form part of the portfolio, see Section "DESCRIPTION OF THE PORTFOLIO", Subsection "Warranties and Guarantees in relation to the Sale of the Purchased Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables" of the Base Prospectus.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
20	Assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness performed in accordance with Article 8 of Directive 2008/48/EC, or paragraphs 1 to 4, point (a) of paragraph 5 and paragraph 6 of Article 18 of Directive 2014/17/EU or, if applicable, the analogous provisions of a third country	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> regulatory / legal / due diligence / data</p> <p>VWL is a financial services institution (<i>Finanzdienstleistungsinstitut</i>) according to §1 (1a) German Banking Act. As such, the Originator is supervised by BaFin as competent supervisory authority. With respect to Lease Receivables, as a precaution VW Leasing performs the „Assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness" with respect to lease contracts with consumers in accordance with Article 8 of Directive 2008/48/EU.</p> <p>For expectancy rights, there is no debtor or guarantor who could be credit impaired. According to marginal number 39 of the background and rationale of the EBA Guidelines, the purpose of Article 20(11) of the Securitisation Regulation is to ensure that STS transactions are not exposed to credit-impaired debtors or guarantors. Expectancy rights as rights in rem, i.e. a right to an object (=vehicle or equipment), do not have a debtor. Instead, the Transaction relies on the creditworthiness of the Seller undertaking a repurchase obligation. Such a party should not be, as required by marginal number 50 of the EBA Guidelines, insolvent and there should be no reason to believe that the entity would not be able to meet its obligations under the guarantee or the repurchase obligation. Additional comfort for a situation, where the parties that have entered into the repurchase obligation or have provided a guarantee should not be in a position to fulfil these obligations, is provided to investors through disclosure of a general description of the residual value policy. Under the Transaction, the Seller has warranted that no Insolvency Event with respect to itself has occurred (see above under #3) and there is no reason to believe that it would not be able to meet its obligations under the repurchase obligation (also see below under #23). In addition, VWL discloses to investors during its regular investor due diligence meetings details of its RV management.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
21	Originator's experience (management and senior staff) in origination of risk positions	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Regulatory (suitable proof incl. Imprint Website) / Due Diligence</p> <p>As an institution, the Originator does have significantly more than 5 years of experience in origination and underwriting of exposures similar to those securitised, see Section "BUSINESS AND ORGANISATION OF VOLKSWAGEN LEASING GMBH", Subsection "Origination and Securitisation Expertise" of the Base Prospectus.</p>
#	Criterion Article 20 (11)	Verification Report
22	The underlying exposures are transferred without undue delay after selection	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Legal (Transaction documents)</p> <p>The underlying exposures (Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables) are transferred from the Seller to VCL Master RV C2 without undue delay after selection.</p>
#	Criterion Article 20 (11)	Verification Report
23	The underlying exposures do not include any defaulted exposures or to debtors/guarantors with impaired creditworthiness	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Regulatory (suitable proof incl. Imprint Website) / Legal (Transaction documents) / Due Diligence</p> <p>Since Expectancy Rights are in rem rights there is no debtor or guarantor who could be credit impaired.</p> <p>With regard to the Final Payment Receivables which may arise upon termination of the underlying lease agreements, reference is made to the Lease Receivables: The Originator is not an institution subject to Regulation (EU) 575/2013. However, it applies the requirements of Art. 178 (1) by analogy and to the extent that this does not cause an effort which is unduly burdensome, VWL is committed to repurchase the Leased Vehicles as stipulated in the Repurchase Agreement, and with reference to marginal number 50 of the EBA Guidelines, VWL is not insolvent and there should be no reason to believe that VWL would not be able to meet its obligations under the repurchase agreement.</p> <p>The Originator warrants that the underlying Lease Receivables will not include lease receivables relating to exposures in default (i.e. lessees who are past due more than 90 days on any material obligation to VWL or who VWL considers as unlikely to pay their obligations to VWL) (see ERPA, Clause 6.2 (e) (i)). Furthermore, the underlying exposures will not include lease receivables relating to credit-impaired lessees or guarantors who (1) have been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within 3 years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within 3 years prior to the transfer date of the underlying exposures to the SPV; (2) were, at the time of origination, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history; or (3) have a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not being made is significantly higher than for comparable receivables held by the Originator which are not securitised (see ERPA, Clause 6.2 (e) (ii)).</p>

	<p>The Originator represents, with regards to the question which sources of information it has used to identify defaulted exposures and to determine if a lessees or guarantor is credit-impaired, that it has obtained information (1) from the debtor on origination of the exposures, (2) in the course of VWL’s servicing of the exposures, or (3) from a third party, see Clause 6.2 (e) (ii) of the ERPA. This is in line with the ‘best knowledge’ standard stipulated in the EBA Guidelines.</p> <p>Debtors and guarantors (i) declared insolvent and/or undergone a debt-restructuring process, or (ii) found on a public or other credit registry of persons with adverse credit history are generally not eligible according to the underwriting policy, as discussed in the Due Diligence.</p> <p>The Originator has IT systems in place to ensure that defaulted exposures or exposures to debtors/guarantors with impaired creditworthiness are excluded from the provisional or final pool cut.</p>
--	--

#	Criterion Article 20 (11)	Verification Report
24	The risk positions do not have a credit assessment or a credit score that allows a significantly higher default risk to be expected than for non-securitised risk positions	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Due Diligence</p> <p>There is a variety of factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures in the securitised portfolio, comprising (but not limited to) make, model, mileage, engine, powertrain as well as general market conditions.</p> <p>These factors are the same for securitised and non-securitised exposures due to the strictly random selection process.</p> <p>On this basis, it can be reasonably assumed that – in comparison to non-securitised exposures – no worse performance should occur for securitised exposures for the term of the Transaction.</p> <p>The requirement that the underlying exposures do not have a “credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not being made is significantly higher than for comparable receivables held by the Originator which are not securitised” is considered to be met based on the following: (i) the underlying exposures do not include exposures that are classified as doubtful, impaired, non-performing or similar at the time of selection, and (ii) the strictly random selection process.</p>

#	Criterion Article 20 (12)	Verification Report
25	At the time of the transfer, the debtor has paid at least 1 instalment	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents) / Data (AuP Report)</p> <p>Due to the fact that there is no debtor in the case of Expectancy Rights, reference is made to the lessees and the underlying lease contract (where the leasing cashflows are securitised through the Transaction "VCL Master C1" or other VCL term ABS transactions, see above under #1) as no payments are made under the Expectancy Rights.</p> <p>The Originator warrants that on the respective Additional Cut-Off Date at least 2 instalments have been paid in respect of each related Lease Contract from the Transaction "VCL Master C1" relating to the Purchased Lease Receivables, see ERPA, Clause 6.1 (n).</p>
#	Criterion Article 20 (13)	Verification Report
26	The repayment of the securitisation position should not be predominantly dependent on the sale of assets collateralising the underlying exposures	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents) / Due Diligence / Data</p> <p>With respect to the Expectancy Rights, every car returned from a lessee is subject to the obligation of VWL to repurchase the Leased Vehicles under the Repurchase Agreement in place between the Seller and the Issuer. As presented and discussed in the Due Diligence, the risk management of VWL carefully manages the projected vehicle values. As a result, the primary source of repayment for the Expectancy Rights relates to VWL, and secondly (in case of a default of VWL) on the sale of assets, hence no predominant dependence on the sale of assets exists.</p> <p>Furthermore, the above mentioned right of the Issuer to sell the leased vehicle to VWL (which fulfils the conditions referred to in the EBA Guidelines, #50, i.e. (i) is not insolvent, and (ii) there is no reason to believe that it would not be able to meet its obligations under the repurchase obligation) ensures that the repayment of the securitisation position does not predominantly depend on the sale of assets (i.e. vehicles) securing the underlying exposures.</p> <p>As a result, the Transaction is not structured in a way to predominantly rely on assets securing the underlying exposures.</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (1)	Verification Report
27	Risk retention (Art. 6.1 of the Securitisation Regulation), usually by the Originator	<p><u>Verification Method</u>: Legal (Transaction documents) / Due Diligence</p> <p>Volkswagen Leasing GmbH as the Seller and Originator will act as holder of the risk retention (Retention Holder) and retain on an ongoing basis a material net economic interest of not less than 5% of the securitised exposures, see Section "RISK FACTORS", Part "IV. RISKS RELATED TO REGULATORY CHANGES", Subsection "Risk retention and due diligence requirements" of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>The type of risk retention will be a net economic interest through overcollateralisation of the Notes and the Subordinated Loan and such overcollateralisation acts as a 'first loss' retention of no less than 5 per cent. of the nominal value of the securitised assets, in accordance with Article 6(3)(d) of Securitisation Regulation, see Section "RISK FACTORS", Part "IV. RISKS RELATED TO REGULATORY CHANGES", Subsection "Risk retention and due diligence requirements" of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>The Monthly Investor Reports including relevant information with regard to the Purchased Expectancy Rights will also set out monthly confirmation regarding the continued holding of the retention of the material net economic interest by the Seller in accordance with Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation, as confirmed by the Originator.</p> <p>The legal obligation of the Seller to hold the risk retention during the lifetime of the Transaction is entered into according to Section "RISK FACTORS", Part "IV. RISKS RELATED TO REGULATORY CHANGES", Subsection "Risk retention and due diligence requirements" of the Base Prospectus.</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (2)	Verification Report
28	Appropriate hedging of interest rate and currency risks, no derivatives as underlying risk positions (I / II)	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Due Diligence</p> <p>Since the Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables are fixed rate and the Class A Notes and the Class B Notes are floating rate, interest rate risks arise from such mismatch. Both assets and liabilities of the Issuer are EUR denominated hence no currency risk occurs.</p> <p>Interest rate risks are hedged appropriately with fixed-floating interest rate swaps (one swap for each of the Series of Notes) where the swap notional is always equal to the outstanding notes' balance. All swap agreements contain a floor of zero for the 1-M-Euribor plus spread, hence the hedging is appropriate, see Section "SWAP AGREEMENT AND SWAP COUNTERPARTIES" in the Base Prospectus. The Swap Agreement is construed to fulfil the relevant Rating Agencies' criteria. In addition, the swap agreements provide for provisions in case of a replacement of Euribor by €STR, which should mitigate potential risks due to the expected IBOR transitions and change in reference rates.</p> <p>No further risks in addition to interest rate risks are hedged under the interest rate hedge agreements.</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (2)	Verification Report
29	Appropriate hedging of interest rate and currency risks, no derivatives as underlying risk positions (II / II)	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents)</p> <p>The legal instruments used by the Issuer to hedge interest rate risks are the Swap Agreements, see Section "SWAP AGREEMENT AND SWAP COUNTERPARTIES" of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>All swap agreements do consider any potential asset liability mismatch by referencing to the outstanding notes balance, and the agreements are based on market standard ISDA Master Agreements, see Annex B "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE", Definition of "Swap Agreement" of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>The requirements for eligible swap counterparties are market standard in international finance, see Section "SWAP AGREEMENT AND SWAP COUNTERPARTIES" of the Base Prospectus in connection with Annex B "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE", Definition of "Eligible Swap Counterparty" of the Base Prospectus.</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (3)	Verification Report
30	Generally used reference rates for interest payments	<u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents)
		No reference rates apply to the Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables which bear fixed interest rates.
		The Notes will bear interest at floating rates based on 1-M-Euribor, see Sections "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE CLASS A NOTES" and "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE CLASS B NOTES" of the Base Prospectus, constituting a market standard reference rate.
		The interest for the Cash Accounts will be based on EONIA, also constituting a market standard reference rate.
		Currency hedges are not provided for in the Transaction structure.
#	Criterion Article 21 (4)	Verification Report
31	Requirements in the event of an enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice	<u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents)
		After the occurrence of an Enforcement Event the priority of payments will change to the "Order of Priority" in accordance with Annex A "TRUST AGREEMENT", Clause 21.2 (c) (following the occurrence of an Enforcement Event) of the Base Prospectus and the following conditions will be fulfilled according to the Transaction documents:
		- no cash will be retained with the Issuer, see Annex A "TRUST AGREEMENT" Clause 21.2 (c) of the Base Prospectus.
		- the principal receipts from the underlying exposures will be used for the fully sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, see Annex A "TRUST AGREEMENT" Clause 21.2 (c) of the Base Prospectus.
		- interest and principal payments are first made for the Class A Notes and then interest and principal payments are made for the Class B Notes, hence repayments are not reversed with regard to their seniority.
- no automatic liquidation or sale of risk positions or assets is provided for.		

#	Criterion Article 21 (5)	Verification Report
32	<p>Sequential repayment as fall-back in the event of a deterioration in portfolio quality for Transactions that feature a non-sequential priority of payments</p>	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents)</p> <p>As usual in VCL transactions, the amortisation structure does provide for a pro-rata amortisation subject to the performance of the underlying portfolio and after the initial credit enhancement has increased to the required levels.</p> <p>The amortisation concept is based on the Class A/B Principal Payment Amounts, the Class A/B Targeted Remaining Note Balances, the Class A/B Targeted Overcollateralisation Amounts and the Class A/B Targeted Overcollateralisation Percentages, see the respective Definitions in Annex B "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE" of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>Performance triggers specifying if and to what extent a pro-rata amortisation can occur are based on the 12-Months Average Dynamic Net Losses as specified in the Credit Enhancement Increase Condition, see the respective Definition in Annex B "Master Definitions Schedule" of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>Upon occurrence of a Credit Enhancement Increase Condition the amortisation switches back to fully sequential.</p> <p>The occurrence of a Credit Enhancement Increase Condition is not reversible, see the Definition of Class A Targeted Overcollateralisation Percentage in Annex B "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE" of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>As a result of the above, the amortisation mechanism complies with Art. 21 (5) of the Securitisation Regulation.</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (6)	Verification Report
33	Early amortisation provisions or triggers for termination of the revolving phase to include at least the following:	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents)</p> <p>General: The Issuer will only be allowed to purchase Additional Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables until an Early Amortisation Event (see Definition in Annex B "MASTER DEFINITION SCHEDULE" in the Base Prospectus) has occurred. Thus, the revolving period will end upon the occurrence of an Early Amortisation Event. The following events trigger an Early Amortisation Event:</p>
	a) deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures below a predefined threshold	A deterioration in the credit quality of the Purchased Receivables to or below a predetermined threshold as set out in Item (iii) of the Definition of Early Amortisation Event (Credit Enhancement Increase Condition) as well as in Item (v) of the Definition of Early Amortisation Event, in case that the actual overcollateralisation percentages would fall below a predefined level.
	b) insolvency-related events in relation to the Originator or the Servicer	The occurrence of an insolvency-related event with regard to the Originator or the Servicer as set out in Item (iii) of the Definition of Early Amortisation Event, whereby the Credit Enhancement Increase Condition does make reference to a Servicer Replacement Event.
	c) decline in value of the underlying exposures below a predefined threshold	A decline of the value of the underlying exposures falls below a predetermined threshold as set out in Item (v) of the Definition of Early Amortisation Event, whereby where, on any Payment Date falling after six consecutive Payment Dates following the Initial Issue Date, the Class A Actual Overcollateralisation Percentage is determined as being lower than [46.5] per cent. or the Class B Actual Overcollateralisation Percentage is determined as being lower than [33.0] per cent.
	d) failure to generate sufficient new underlying exposures for replenishments under revolving Transactions	A failure to generate sufficient new Additional Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables that meet the predetermined credit quality (as set out in Item (ii) of the Definition of Early Amortisation Event).

#	Criterion Article 21 (7)	Verification Report
34	Clear rules in the Transaction documentation regarding obligations, tasks and responsibilities of the Servicer, trustees and other ancillary service providers	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents)</p> <p>The Servicing Agreement provides for a clear specification of the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the servicer, especially with regard to the servicing, monitoring, reporting and monthly advances to mitigate for commingling risk, as well as the provisions for a potential replacement in case of a Servicer Replacement Event, see summary of the Servicing Agreement in Section "ADMINISTRATION OF THE PURCHASED EXPECTANCY RIGHTS AND FINAL PAYMENT RECEIVABLES UNDER THE SERVICING AGREEMENT" of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>Similar provisions for the obligations, duties and responsibilities are provided for the following parties, see the respective descriptions in the Base Prospectus:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expectancy Rights Trustee (see Annex A "TRUST AGREEMENT", Section "Part C. Duties of the Expectancy Rights Trustee prior to occurrence of the Foreclosure Event" of the Base Prospectus) • Account Bank, Cash Administrator, Calculation Agent and Registrar (see Sections "ACCOUNT BANK, CASH ADMINISTRATOR, CALCULATION AGENT AND REGISTRAR" of the Base Prospectus) • Corporate Administration (see Section "CORPORATE ADMINISTRATION AND ACCOUNTS" of the Base Prospectus) <p>Also, detailed provisions exist for the obligations, duties and responsibilities of the Swap counterparty (see Sections "SWAP AGREEMENT AND SWAP COUNTERPARTIES" and the Definition of "Eligible Swap Counterparty" in Annex B "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE" of the Base Prospectus)</p>
#	Criterion Article 21 (8)	Verification Report
35	Experience of the Servicer (management and senior staff) in the servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Regulatory (suitable proof) / Legal (Transaction documents) / Due Diligence</p> <p>Volkswagen Leasing GmbH is a regulated financial services institution (<i>Finanzdienstleistungsinstitut</i>) according to § 1 German Banking Act with BaFin as regulatory authority.</p> <p>The Base Prospectus contains information on the experience of VWL as a seller and servicer. VWL has been successfully doing securitisations of lease receivables since 1996 and the management has sufficient experience.</p> <p>The experience of the Managements Board and Senior Staff is summarised in Section "BUSINESS AND ORGANISATION OF VOLKSWAGEN LEASING GMBH", Subsection "Origination and Securitisation Expertise" of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>As a result, VWL as servicer is deemed to have the relevant expertise as an entity being active as servicer of lease receivables for the last five decades and as servicer of lease receivables securitisations for 25 years, and no contrary findings were observed in the Due Diligence.</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (8)	Verification Report
36	Appropriate and well documented risk management and service policies, procedures and controls	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Regulatory (suitable proof) / Due Diligence</p> <p>As a result of the regulatory status (see #35 above), VWL has well established procedures with regard to risk management, servicing and internal control systems in place, and no contrary findings were observed in the Due Diligence.</p>
#	Criterion Article 21 (9)	Verification Report
37	Clear and coherent definitions, regulations and possible measures with regard to the servicing of non-performing exposures	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents) / Due Diligence</p> <p>The description of the business procedures of VWL (see Section "BUSINESS PROCEDURES OF VOLKSWAGEN LEASING GMBH" of the Base Prospectus) and the Servicing Agreement contain a description of procedures related to the servicing of the lease receivables (Negotiation of the Lease Contract and Appraisal of the Creditworthiness of the Prospective Lessee, Debts Management, Collection Centre, Procedure, Write-Off, Internal Audit, Commingling of Collections).</p> <p>In addition, the Section "Management of Residual Values by Volkswagen Leasing GmbH" needs to be considered. As stated above the Expectancy Rights and Final Payment Receivables will not become non-performing as long as VWL performs its obligations under the repurchase agreement, and we deem important the residual value risk management strategy of the originator instead.</p> <p>The Definitions used in the Transaction ("Expectancy Rights", "Discounted Expectancy Rights Balance" and "Aggregate Discounted Expectancy Rights Balance") provide clear and consistent definitions in the Transaction documentation and also with regard to the applicable Priority of Payments and related triggers.</p> <p>The Transaction documentation clearly specifies the priorities of payment (Pre-Enforcement Priority of Payments and Post-Enforcement Priority of Payments), see Section "OVERVIEW OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES" of the Base Prospectus, and the events which trigger changes in such priorities of payment, see Definition of "Enforcement Event" in Annex B "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE" of the Base Prospectus.</p> <p>The investor report provides inter alia for the monthly reporting of the status of the Credit Enhancement Increase Conditions.</p> <p>The procedures presented and discussed in the Due Diligence correspond to the description in the Base Prospectus and no contrary findings could be observed.</p>

#	Criterion Article 21 (10)	Verification Report
38	Clear rules in the event of conflicts between the different classes of noteholders	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Regulatory / Legal (Transaction documents)</p> <p>The notes are issued on the basis of the German Debenture Act (Schuldverschreibungsgesetz - SchVG), see Sections "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE CLASS A NOTES" and "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE CLASS B NOTES", condition 13(a) of each class of notes, enabling noteholders to take resolutions within one class of notes.</p> <p>In addition, Clause 3.1 of the Trust Agreement provides for clear instructions for the trustee as regards the treatment of the interests of different classes of notes and their ranking in line with the applicable Priority of Payments (see subSection "PART E Accounts; Order of Priority" of the Trust Agreement, Clause 21.2 (a) (prior to the occurrence of an Enforcement Event) and Clause 21.2 (c) (following the occurrence of an Enforcement Event).</p>
#	Criterion Article 22 (1)	Verification Report
39	Provision of historical performance data before pricing	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents) / Due Diligence</p> <p>The Transaction is exposed, among others, to (i) lessee default risk and (ii) market value decline risk in relation to the Expectancy Rights securitising the RV portion of a Lease Contract. This is due to the fact that if a lessee defaults under a lease contract, recoveries are split pro rata between VCL Master C1 (or any VCL term ABS SPV) and the Issuer. Any market value decline could result in a loss to noteholders in case the Leased Vehicle's market value falls below the purchase price, and there is a default by a third party (i.e. the respective car dealer and VWL under a repurchase agreement between VWL and the Issuer) covering such shortfall.</p> <p>Based on the above, the Originator has provided historical performance data covering the following areas:</p> <p>a) Losses (i.e. net losses after recoveries) <u>in static format</u> (covering the period from July 2013 until June 2023)</p> <p>It should be noted that these net losses (calculated by deducting the vehicle sales proceeds and other recoveries from the outstanding balance of the respective leases contract up to the final write-off of the lease contract) have been provided by the Originator in a detailed and consistent manner for the overall portfolio of substantially similar auto lease contracts covering a meaningful period of the credit cycle. The approach using write-off data is consistent with the business procedures of the Originator and the well documented processes for servicing of non-performing auto lease contracts until the point of write-off.</p> <p>Additionally, the Originator discloses very detailed information on a single contract basis as part of the monthly investor reports for all outstanding VCL securitisations, see the link to the website of the Originator as shown below. These data allow to derive and analyse in detail the information on the recovery proceeds from the vehicle disposal, other recoveries, breakdown by region, brand, customer type (corporate/retail), vehicle type (new, used, demonstration vehicle) as well as date of origination and date of default. As a result, information about static or dynamic defaults (i.e. gross losses before recoveries) is disclosed.</p> <p>https://www.vwfsag.de/de/home/investor_relations/Volkswagen_Leasing_GmbH/refinanzierung/asset_backed_securities.html</p>

	<p>b) Losses (i.e. net losses after recoveries) in <u>dynamic</u> format on a quarterly basis (covering the period from March 2011 until June 2023)</p> <p>c) Delinquencies (covering the period from January 2010 until June 2023)</p> <p>d) Sales results from the sale of Leased Vehicles (both in absolute numbers for VWL and per-vehicle, showing the sales profit and sales losses (after remarketing costs), as applicable, and the number of vehicles sold on a quarterly basis (covering the period from June 2016 until March 2022.</p>
	<p>The data history, which is provided prior to pricing, covers a substantially longer period than the minimum of at least 5 years required under Article 22 (1) of the Securitisation Regulation.</p>
	<p>Given that the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures in the securitised portfolio, namely the factors described in #24 are the same to the overall portfolio for which the above-mentioned historical performance data have been procured, comparability between the securitised portfolio and the Originator’s overall portfolio (“substantially similar exposures”) is ensured.</p>

#	Criterion Article 22 (2)	Verification Report
40	Performance of an asset audit on the basis of a sample and defined audit steps (Agreed upon Procedures, AuP) by an external independent party	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (AuP Report)</p> <p>The Originator has mandated a qualified and experienced audit firm to perform the asset audit followed by the audit firm. The asset audit and the AuP include both of the following:</p> <p>a) a verification of the compliance of the underlying exposures in the portfolio with the key eligibility criteria (the “Eligibility Criteria Verification”); and</p> <p>b) verification that the data disclosed to investors in the Base Prospectus dated 21 September 2022 in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate (the “OC Data Verification”).</p> <p>The sample drawn for the Eligibility Criteria Verification is representative of the securitised portfolio, based on the pool cut dated 30 June 2022. This is ensured by a sufficiently large sample and random selection, applying a 95% confidence level. The final report prepared by the audit firm with regards to the Eligibility Criteria Verification has been made available to SVI on 11 August 2022. The final report confirms that the Eligibility Criteria Verification has occurred and that no significant adverse findings have been found.</p> <p>The OC Data Verification was performed by the audit firm based on the pool dated 31 August 2022. This verification has been based on all underlying exposures (contract level data) and the scope comprises (i) verification that the Weighted Average Life of the Notes issued are accurate (see Section “DESCRIPTION OF THE PORTFOLIO”, Subsection “Weighted Average Life of the Notes” of the Base Prospectus) have been correctly computed, and (ii) verification that the information in the stratification tables (see Section “DESCRIPTION OF THE PORTFOLIO”, Subsection “Description of the Lease Contracts, Residual Values represented by the Expectancy Rights as at the Additional Cut-Off Date falling in August 2022” of the Base Prospectus) correspond to the pool cut.</p>

		<p>The final reports prepared by the audit firm with regards to (i) the verification of the Weighted Average Life and (ii) the verification of the information in the stratification tables was provided to SVI on 22 September 2022 and thus prior to the renewal closing of the Transaction (26 September 2022). It confirms that the OC Data Verification has occurred and that no adverse findings have been found.</p> <p>[SVI to update this section upon receipt of the AuP Report]</p>
--	--	--

#	Criterion Article 22 (3)	Verification Report
41	<p>Provision of a precise liability cash flow model to the investors prior to pricing by the Originator; "precise" refers to the possibility for the investor to calculate the amortisation rate and, based on this, the pricing of the securitisation position</p>	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents) / Due Diligence (Cash flow model)</p> <p>A CF-Model has been prepared by Hypoport B.V. on behalf of the Originator and is provided as web-based tool that can be accessed via www.loanbyloan.eu (subscription model). SVI has been granted access to the website and the cash flow model for the VCL Master RV C2 Transaction prior to pricing in order to perform the steps necessary to verify the compliance under Article 22 (3) of the Securitisation Regulation. It should be noted that the statements below reflect the result of SVI's review of the functionality of the cash flow model and can be considered as a check of plausibility, however no assurance can be given that the CF-Model calculates correctly in each and every scenario.</p> <p>SVI has verified the model provided by Hypoport B.V., which accurately reflects the contractual relationships and cash flows from and to the securitised portfolio, cash accounts, swap counterparties, the various series of Classes A and Class B Notes, the Originator/Servicer as well as other parties involved (summarised as senior expenses).</p> <p>A certain range of different scenarios can be modelled, including but not limited different levels of defaults and, recoveries, interest rate assumptions, coupon on the notes and senior expenses. Both size as well as timing of payments or defaults can be varied. Also, digital scenarios such as the exercise of call options (yes/no) can be considered. As a result, the base case scenario for pricing as well as stress scenarios for credit analysis purposes can be modelled.</p> <p>The Originator has confirmed that the CF-Model will be made available before pricing.</p> <p>The Originator undertakes to provide potential investors with the CF-Model upon request.</p>

#	Criterion Article 22 (4)	Verification Report
42	For residential mortgage loan, auto loan or leasing portfolios: publication of information on the environmental performance of the assets financed by such underlying exposures (energy performance certificates)	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents, Due Diligence)</p> <p>The Originator has confirmed that information on the environmental performance of the assets financed by such underlying exposures (in this case: auto vehicles) is not captured in its internal database or IT systems and hence not available for reporting in this Transaction.</p>

#	Criterion Article 22 (5)	Verification Report
43	Compliance with the provisions of Art. 7 of the Securitisation Regulation (regarding Transparency) is the responsibility of the Originator or Sponsor	<p><u>Verification Method:</u> Legal (Transaction documents) / Due Diligence</p> <p>The Originator confirms that it will fulfil the provisions of Art. 7 of the Securitisation Regulation as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Art. 7 (1) (a): Loan level data has already been made available since the Original Closing Date of VCL Master C2 and will continue to be available on a monthly basis. • Art. 7 (1) (b): The Base Prospectus and the relevant Transaction documents in draft form have been made available prior to pricing and will be made available in final form within 15 days after the Renewal Date. • Art. 7 (1) (c): Not applicable. • Art. 7 (1) (d): In accordance with the RTS for notification, the notification has been provided to investors in draft form prior to pricing and will be provided in final form within 15 days after closing. • Art. 7 (1) (e): The Investor Report has already been made available on each payment date since the Original Closing Date. • Art. 7 (1) (f): Ad hoc announcements will be published as soon as they need to be published under the MAR. • Art. 7 (1) (g): If a "Significant Event" occurs, investors will be informed immediately.

As a result of the verifications documented above, we confirm to **Volkswagen Leasing GmbH** that the STS criteria pursuant to Article 19 to 22 of the European Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2017 laying down a general framework for securitisation and creating a specific framework for simple, transparent and standardised securitisation, and amending Directives 2009/65/EC, 2009/138/EC and 2011/61/EU and Regulations (EC) No 1060/2009 and (EU) No 648/2012 for the transaction “**VCL MASTER RESIDUAL VALUE S.A., Compartment 2**” have been fulfilled, with the exception of Article 22 (2) of the Securitisation Regulation.

SVI contact details:

Michael Osswald
Managing Director
STS Verification International GmbH
Mainzer Landstrasse 61
60329 Frankfurt am Main
+49 69 8740 344-10
michael.osswald@svi-gmbh.com

Marco Pause
Director
STS Verification International GmbH
Mainzer Landstrasse 61
60329 Frankfurt am Main
+49 69 8740 344-43
marco.pause@svi-gmbh.com

Salah Maklada
Director
STS Verification International GmbH
Mainzer Landstrasse 61
60329 Frankfurt am Main
+49 69 8740 344-45
salah.maklada@svi-gmbh.com